FLAVIUS VEGETIUS RENATUS. ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

MICHAEL IDOMIR ALLEN

(The University of Chicago)

The Addenda et Corrigenda are arranged in the order of the original article (CTC 6.175–84) and comprise additional material for the Fortuna and Bibliography.

FORTUNA

p. 176b3-24. Replace with:

The Anglo-Saxon Bede (d. 735) included anonymous borrowings from the *Epitoma rei militaris* in several of his works; he stands as the first medieval author known to have used Vegetius. Two generations later, Alcuin (d. 804) wove a passage from the *Epitoma* into a letter addressed to Charlemagne. In the ninth century, the *Epitoma* came into prominence on the Continent owing to the Carolingian cultural renewal. Vegetius gave men of letters a chance to show the relevance of booklearning to secular pursuits.

In 838/839, Bishop Frechulf of Lisieux (d. ca. 850) addressed an edition of the *Epitoma* to the West Frankish king, Charles the Bald (823–877). During the mid- and late 820s, Frechulf had compiled a *World History* offered in 829/830 to Empress Judith as an ethico-historical primer for her son, the young Charles, then seven years old. Frechulf's edition of Vegetius marked a continuing effort by the bishop to cultivate and influence the Carolingian prince. Echoing the *subscriptio*

1. These borrowings are discussed in detail by F. H. Sherwood, *Studies in Medieval Uses of Vegetius*' Epitoma rei militaris (Diss. University of California, Los Angeles, 1980), 69–79. See also D. Mitchell, "Vegetius Renatus, Flavius," in *Sources of Anglo-Saxon Literary Culture: A Trial Version*, ed. F. M. Biggs et al., Medieval and Renaissance Texts and Studies 74 (Binghamton, N. Y., 1990), 161.

2. MGH, Epistolae 5, Epistolae karolini aevi 3, ed. E. Dümmler (Berlin, 1899), 415, ll. 5–9; C. Lang, ed., Epitoma rei militaris, praef., 2d ed. (Leipzig, 1885; rpt. Stuttgart, 1967), 4–5 (hereafter cited as Lang).

eutropiana of 450 A.D., a defining characteristic of Lang's ϵ -class branch of the transmission of the *Epitoma*, Frechulf's prologue to Charles introduced a textual recension responsible for an important sub-group of class ϵ . Frechulf thereby distinguished himself as the first medieval editor of the *Epitoma* and the first writer to refer by name to the "libellos Flauii Vegeti Renati De re militari".³

An early surviving copy of Frechulf's recension is found in Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, lat. 7383. The Caroline script of the codex is the work of several ill-practiced, heavily corrected Neustrian hands datable on palaeographical grounds to not later than 850 (confirmed by Bernhard Bischoff, letter of 14 January 1990). Hence the manuscript is contemporary with the Carolingian editor. Frechulf's prologue appears in truncated form on a singleton (fol. 1) different from the ensuing leaves (fols. 2–66) but similar to those of the final gathering (fols. 67–74). The initial singleton and the final gathering share a layout of twenty-one ruled lines struck on identical springy, cream-colored parchment (goatskin). These leaves contrast with the intervening stiff, yellowish membrane (sheepskin) ruled and written with twenty-four lines (fols. 2-66). The codex, now slightly altered from the original disposition, has the following collation: I¹⁺⁸, II¹⁺¹⁰, III⁸, IIII–VI¹⁰, VII–VIII⁸. The Vegetius content is complete apart from the normal lacunae of the ϵ class text that Frechulf reedits;4 the *Epitoma* proper begins on fol. 2r. The initial singleton stands in

^{3.} Mention of Frechulf's place in the tradition and a text of his prologue appear in Lang vi, xvii, xxvii–xxviiii; Dümmler's edition of the prologue (ibid., 618–19) is now superseded by the critical text in M. I. Allen, ed., *Frechulfi Lexouiensis Opera omnia*, CCCM 169 (Turnhout, 2002), 727–29

^{4.} Lang xvii-xviiii.

the place of the excised cover leaf of quire I, itself originally copied as an authentic quinion marked "I a" (fol. 10v, center lower margin). The stub of the excised leaf is now turned back over the fold of the ensuing gathering, and its conjugate (fol. 10) stands at the head of quire II, to make II¹⁺¹⁰. Textually, the supplied singleton contains the bulk of Frechulf's prologue about his recension of Vegetius.

Considered together, the palaeographical, physical, and textual features of Parisinus lat. 7383 mark the codex as an idiograph of Frechulf's recension which mirrors the process of preparing the new text. Decisively, the quality and ruling of the parchment change with the final gathering (quire VIII), and this shift in writing stock permits a partial account of how Frechulf concluded his work as reflected by the codex. After emending the *Epitoma*, the editor drafted a dedicatory prologue that both echoed and superseded Eutropius' subscription of 450 which followed the ϵ class text used for the recension. Frechulf omitted the ancient subscription and its disclaimer "emendaui sine exemplario", only to adopt and embellish the distinctive phrase in his own prologue: "corrigere curaui sine exemplario". The sequence of the project meant that the bishop and his copyists used a leaf of the springy membrane with twenty-one lines similar to the stock of quire VIII to set down the bulk of the dedicatory prologue. This leaf was affixed as a mismatched singleton to the head of booklet I. Physically and textually, last things become first. The shift in materials and the use of the alternate writing stock for the editorial colophon, i.e., the prologue, place Parisinus lat. 7383 in the editor's immediate circle. The copy itself is almost certainly the product of Frechulf's Lisieux scriptorium, the first to be identified as such.

The added singleton received most but not all of the prologue. A tailpiece equivalent to six lines of copy in Parisinus lat. 7383 has now been lost. It probably continued on the cover sheet of the original quinion marked "I a", so that the codex began with two regular quinions headed by the mismatched singleton (i.e., I¹⁺¹⁰, II¹⁰). The cover sheet was perhaps originally left blank as protection for the ensuing text of Vegetius or to hold the later dedication. After receiving the last lines of

5. Ibid., vi, xxviii and n. 1; also Allen, ed., Frechulfi Lexouiensis Opera, 728, l. 16.

the prologue, the leaf suffered a common fate of unwritten, or mostly unwritten, parchment. It was excised for other use, but only after the complete prologue with the recension of Vegetius had been propagated.

The codicological evidence placing Parisinus lat. 7383 in Frechulf's immediate circle is corroborated by the textual tradition of Frechulf's prologue. Dümmler's text neatly illustrates the role played by the Paris idiograph. To consider but one example, his edition reports the reading ut poeta⁶ for all five of the collated witnesses. This variant derives from Parisinus lat. 7383, fol. 1r, although the manuscript actually contains ait poeta. The delicate bow of the notabilior Caroline a in ait deceptively recedes against the soiled and damp-stained surface of fol. 1r; the letter's sinuous back-stroke and the adjacent i appear to combine into a majuscule u (of a form otherwise absent in the manuscript), seemingly to give: "ut poeta, non omnia possumus omnes" (Virgil, Eclogue 8.63). The misreading ut stems from a blemish in the Paris prototype. Easily enough, Dümmler's collator repeated the mistake of finding ut for ait, first committed by a medieval copyist and subsequently propagated in the wider, southern branch of the tradition. Lang, however, had correctly printed ait (p. xxvii) as the reading of Parisinus lat. 7383, and the peculiar use of ait in initial position is, in fact, a recurrent feature of Frechulf's generally awkward Latinity. The four late witnesses (s. XIV-XV) cited by Dümmler derive ultimately from the Paris idiograph, as do numerous other known copies of Frechulf's recension, including a two-member German textual family that preserves the reading ait poeta.⁷ In his recent studies of the transmission of Vegetius, Michael D. Reeve has amply shown the widereaching influence of Frechulf's interventions across much of the later tradition.

It is historically and culturally significant that Frechulf supplied Charles the Bald with an edition of Vegetius, as Rosamond McKitterick suggests. Yet McKitterick's hypothesis that Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, lat. 7230 (at

^{6.} Dümmler, ed., MGH, *Epistolae karolini aevi* 5.619, l. 8; cf. Allen, ibid., 727 and apparatus.

^{7.} Allen, History in the Carolingian Renewal, 349-59 and (ed.) Frechulfi Lexouiensis Opera, 726.

^{8. &}quot;Charles the Bald (823-877) and His Library: The Patronage of Learning," *English Historical Review* 95 (1980) 31 and n. 4.

Saint-Denis, s. X^1) may be Frechulf's presentation copy is invalid, because the codex transmits the text of an alternate sub-group of class ϵ . In the manuscript, the Vegetius text precedes Solinus in a continuous transcription by a single hand. The intention of the copyist was to pair these two texts, an interesting combination, but one alien to Frechulf's design. ¹⁰

The *Epitoma* was copied in the Carolingian age as a general instructional tool. Pointed ethico-educative considerations lay behind the adaptation of books 1 and 2 that Hrabanus Maurus prefixed to his De anima and dispatched in late 855 or early 856 to Lothar II, the newly established king of Middle Francia.11 Heavily reworked and interpolated by Hrabanus, the fifteen chapters of text depend on an ∈-class copy of Vegetius.12 Frechulf and Hrabanus both worked from exemplars of the ϵ -class, and Hrabanus' text seems closest to what is probably an early version of Frechulf's revision (Laon, Bibliothèque Municipale, 428, s. IX med.), which accords with the close personal links between the two men documented in their correspondence.13

The testaments of two contemporary Frankish aristocrats, Eccard of Mâcon (d. after 867) and Eberhard of Friuli (d. 863), also reveal that highly placed laymen owned and no doubt consulted Vegetius' work. Each of the counts bequeathed his personal copy of the *Epitoma* to a lay heir. The chartularies of Fleury and Cysoing preserve, respectively, the wills documenting the bequests.¹⁴

A separately transmitted dedicatory poem by Sedulius Scottus reveals that Eberhard's copy of Vegetius was itself a gift from Bishop Hartgar of Liège (840–855).¹⁵ The poem is based on the twenty-six Vegetius excerpts included by Sedulius

- 9. Lang xviii-xviiii.
- 10. B. Munk Olsen, L'étude des auteurs classiques latins aux XI^e et XII^e siècles, vol. 2 (Paris, 1985), 512–13 (B.79).
- 11. E. Dümmler published this abridgement under the title *De procinctu romanae militiae* in *Zeitschrift für deutsches Altertum* 15 (1872) 443–51 and later edited the prologue in MGH, *Epistolae karolini aevi* 5.514–15.
- 12. Lang xxviiii; Sherwood, Studies in Medieval Uses of Vegetius, 113–29 (on Hrabanus' preoccupations and editorial technique).
- 13. MGH, *Epistolae karolini aevi* 5.391–400, 441 (ll. 29–31). See Reeve's discussions of the transmission (cited below in Bibliography V).
- 14. For a consideration of these wills in a wider discussion of Carolingian booklists, see R. McKitterick, *The Carolingians and the Written Word* (Cambridge, 1989), 245–48 and nn. 120, 123.
 - 15. Sedulius Scottus, Hartgarius episcopus ad Eber-

in his Collectaneum miscellaneum; these excerpts link Hartgar's codex textually to Parisinus lat. 7383 or its near relation, Laudunensis 428. The presence of Vegetius as well as Frontinus in the Collectaneum miscellaneum may suggest that Sedulius' pupils included "someone involved in warfare, such as a prince or nobleman's son". A consideration of the excerpts highlights the incorporation of three of them in Sedulius' Liber de rectoribus christianis, a "mirror of princes" addressed to Lothar II sometime between 855 and 859, with the conclusion that practical ethics rather than military value guided Sedulius' use of the Epitoma. 18

In addition to the royal and aristocratic readership envisaged by Frechulf, Hrabanus, Hartgar, and Sedulius, the *Epitoma* was studied in the scholarly circle of Lupus of Ferrières (d. after 862). Lupus' critical and scribal methods influenced the execution of the earliest extant copy of the complete *Epitoma* (Lang's class π): Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Pal. lat. 1572 (s. IX med.). 19 Pal. lat. 1572 stands related to Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, lat. 7230A, a ninth-century π -class witness collated by Lang and attributed to Auxerre on the basis of textcritical features reminiscent of Lupus of Ferrières.²⁰ Either of these two manuscripts is perhaps the source of the Vegetius excerpts in the Glossae in Prisciani Partitiones of Remigius of Auxerre.²¹ In addition to his philological interests, Lupus had practical concerns, as a royal corre-

hardum, ed. L. Traube, MGH, Poetae latini aevi carolini 3 (Berlin, 1896), 212, no. 53.

^{16.} Lang xix n. 1; L. Traube, O Roma nobilis, Festgruss der XLI. Versammlung deutscher Philologen und Schulmänner (Munich, 1891), 69–71. For the text of the excerpts, see D. Simpson, ed., Sedulii Scotti Collectaneum miscellaneum, CCCM 67 (Turnhout, 1988), xxv and 145–46.

^{17.} Simpson, ibid., xxii.

^{18.} Sherwood, Studies in Medieval Uses of Vegetius, 79-111.

^{19.} The manuscript, first reported by Félix Grat in 1938, is described by C. Jeudy, "Fonds Palatin," in *Les manuscrits classiques latins de la Bibliothèque Vaticane*, ed. E. Pellegrin et al., vol. 2.2 (Paris, 1982), 229–30.

^{20.} B. Bischoff, "Paläographie und frühmittelalterliche Klassikerüberlieferung," in Bischoff, *Mittelalterliche Studien*, vol. 3 (Stuttgart, 1981), 67–68.

^{21.} These excerpts were noted by Manitius, *Geschichte* 2.808 (addendum to 1.508). On the connections between Lupus and Remigius, see, e.g., C. Jeudy, "L'oeuvre de Remi d'Auxerre," in *L'école carolingienne d'Auxerre*, *de Murethach à Remi*, 830–908, ed. D. Iogna-Prat et al. (Paris, 1991), 387.

spondent/advisor and as an abbot with military obligations, which the *Epitoma* plainly addressed.

The extant ninth-century catalogue entries reported by Manitius appear to limit the *Epitoma* to libraries in East and Middle Francia (i.e., Constance [recte Reichenau], St. Gall, Lorsch, and Murbach).²² Nevertheless, the known interest in the work and the origins of surviving Carolingian copies attest to its active presence in West Francia. The *Epitoma* had a noteworthy place in the literary response to the political and military disintegration of the Carolingian order.

Scholarly interest in Vegetius in the late ninth and tenth centuries is also well documented. The €-class Dresden, Sächsische Landesbibliothek, Dc 182, fols. 62–135 (copied at Rheims s. IX²) gives a version of Frechulf's text that was soon fitted with scholia drawn from Paul the Deacon's abridgment of Festus Pompeius.²³ Although the manuscript suffered severe damage in 1945, the washed-out glosses survive in a transcription. The codex, at Bamberg/Michelsberg in the high and later Middle Ages, appears to be one of those collected by Otto III and later given by Henry II to his cathedral foundation at Bamberg.²⁴

An important witness in Beneventan script, Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Pal. lat. 909, sheds interesting light on how Vegetius' treatise was construed as a cultural instrument in the late tenth century. The manuscript contains an early, perhaps authorial copy of the so-called *Historia miscella* of Landolf Sagax, followed by the *Epitoma*. Marginal annotations confirm that Landolf's reworking of Paul the Deacon's *Historia*

romana was conceived as a speculum principis for a South Italian noble.²⁵ This combination of the *Epitoma* with an ethico-historical compendium interestingly mirrors the literary program of Frechulf of Lisieux.

While Vegetius appealed to a broad spectrum of ninth- and tenth-century readers, his work was prized by men of learning as a tool for the ethical and military instruction of princes. The *Epitoma* served as a tertium quid between the clerical domain of letters (theory) and the secular domain of warfare (practice). Its use as such marked a subtle advance of Christian literacy and booklearning into the formerly alien training ground of the soldier-prince.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Add the following items: p. 179b.

I. Modern Editions

A. Önnerfors, ed., *Epitoma rei militaris* (Stuttgart, 1995); L. F. Stelten, ed. and trans., *Flavius Vegetius Renatus: Epitoma rei militaris* [Latin edition with English version], American University Studies, Series 17, vol. 11 (New York, 1990) (reports additional variants, e.g., from Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Pal. lat. 1572, but does not supersede Lang).

p. 179b.

22. M. Manitius, Handschriften antiker Autoren in mittelalterlichen Bibliothekskatalogen (Leipzig, 1935), 201, and Geschichte 1.668.

23. Manitius, Geschichte 1.668 and n. 3; see Lang xxxvii. 24. I studied the severely damaged codex in February 2002 and concur with Bischoff's judgment of the date/origin (s. IX2, area of Rheims) for the Vegetius element (uniformly ruled and written with twenty lines); see B. Bischoff, Katalog der festländischen Handschriften des neunten Jahrhunderts (mit Ausnahme der wisigotischen), vol. 1 (Wiesbaden, 1998), 225, no. 1042. The elegant copy starts the Epitoma on the first recto of a complete and authentic quaternion; it never included Frechulf's prologue. For the glosses, see M. Manitius, "Aus Dresdener Handschriften: Scholien zu Vegetius," Rheinisches Museum für Philologie 57 (1902) 293-96. On the later history of the manuscript, see K. Dengler-Schreiber, Scriptorium und Bibliothek des Klosters Michelsberg in Bamberg, Studien zur Bibliotheksgeschichte 2 (Graz, 1979), 22-23 and 222 nn. 138-48.

II. MODERN TRANSLATIONS

N. P. Milner, Vegetius: Epitome of Military Science [with introduction and commentary], Translated Texts for Historians 16 (Liverpool, 1993); F. Wille, trans., Flavius Vegetius Renatus: Epitoma rei militaris (Das gesamte Kriegswesen) [with facing reprint of text of Lang] (Aarau, 1986).

25. W. Wattenbach and W. Levison, *Deutschlands Geschichtsquellen im Mittelalter: Vorzeit und Karolinger*, vol. 2, ed. H. Löwe (Weimar, 1953), 214–15; "Landolfus Sagax," *Lexikon des Mittelalters*, vol. 5 (Munich and Zurich, 1991), 1671 (J. Prelog). Pal. lat. 909 is described by Jeudy, "Fonds Palatin," 83–84.

pp. 179b-180a.

III. VEGETIUS AND HIS WORK

A. Önnerfors, "Zu Person und Werk des Publius Flavius Vegetius Renatus," in *Vetenskapssocietetens i Lund Arsbok 1991* (Lund, 1993), 142–73; A. R. Neumann, "Publius (Flavius) Vegetius Renatus," in PW, Supplementband 10 (1965) 992–1020 (A. R. Neumann).

p. 180b.

V. VEGETIUS IN THE MIDDLE AGES AND THE RENAISSANCE

M. I. Allen, History in the Carolingian Renewal: Frechulf of Lisieux (fl. 830), His Work and Influence

(Diss. University of Toronto, 1994), 324–28, 349–59; G. Lester, *The Earliest English Translation of Vegetius* "De rei militari", Middle English Texts 21 (Heidelberg, 1988); D. Mitchell, "Vegetius Renatus, Flavius," in Sources of Anglo-Saxon Literary Culture: A Trial Version, ed. F. M. Biggs et al., Medieval and Renaissance Texts and Studies 74 (Binghamton, N. Y., 1990), 161; M. D. Reeve, "Editorial Opportunities and Obligations," Rivista di filologia e di istruzione classica 123 (1995) 479–99; Reeve, "Notes on Vegetius," Proceedings of the Cambridge Philological Society 44 (1998) 182–218; Reeve, "Vegetius 4. 41. 4," ibid. 45 (1999) 108; Reeve, "The Transmission of Vegetius's Epitoma rei militaris," Aevum 74 (2000) 243–354.